

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds further herein to the Office Action dated June 13, 2006 and the Advisory Action dated September 6, 2006 in the above-identified application and subsequent to several telephonic conversations with the Examiner on September 13, 2006. A Petition for Extension of Time (one month) and the fee therefor are submitted herewith.

To refresh the Examiner's recollection, during a lengthy discussion, the Examiner and the applicant's undersigned representative engaged in a conversation concerning the Examiner's remarks, at page 2 of the Advisory Action: "Applicant is encouraged to contact examiner for suggestions regarding amending the claims to overcome the current rejections before the filing of the next response."

Accordingly, the present Amendment is being submitted, and it is respectfully asserted that these amendments overcome the references that are of record, as acknowledged by the Examiner during the interview.

Indeed, the prior art does not show a frame advance button which advances the plurality of frames which comprise the panoramic image and, further, does not show a superimpose circuit which superimposes an indicator on the display panel to indicate which of a the plurality of frames of the panoramic image is currently being displayed.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims and pass this case to issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING  
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY  
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
EFS FILING SYSTEM  
ON SEPTEMBER 21, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



---

MAX MOSKOWITZ  
Registration No.: 30,576  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700